

Invited Tech Talk When Knowledge Graph Meets Product Recommendation

Junheng Hao

PhD Candidate, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA)

Dec 8, 2021



Junheng Hao

PhD Candidate, University of California Los Angeles (2017-Now) Website: <u>Jeff's Home (haojunheng.com)</u>

Bio

- Currently 5th-year Ph.D. candidate at UCLA co-advised by Yizhou Sun and Wei Wang in UCLA Data Mining Group.
- My research interests include knowledge graph, graph representation learning, KG-empowered applications (NLP, Bioinformatics, recommender systems, etc.).
- Before joining UCLA, I graduated in 2017 from Department of Automation, Tsinghua University.

Past Experiences

- Research Intern, Microsoft Research/MSAI, 2021
- PhD Research Intern, IBM, 2020
- Applied Science Intern, Amazon Product Graph, 2019
- Research Intern, NEC Labs America, 2018

Knowledge Graphs Are Important



- Foundational to knowledge-driven AI systems
- Enable many downstream applications (NLP tasks, QA systems, etc.)









CIKM Applied Research Paper

P-Companion: A Principled Framework for Diversified Complementary Product Recommendation

Junheng Hao, Tong Zhao, Jin Li, Xin Luna Dong, Christos Faloutsos, Yizhou Sun, Wei Wang





- Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)
- Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)
- P-Companion Model
- Experiments & Case Study
- Summary & Future work





Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)

- Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)
- P-Companion Model
- Experiments & Case Study
- Summary & Future work

Products to purchase together?





Complementary Recommendation



Think about one customer who plans to buy a tennis racket (e.g., Head SpeedX Djokovic racket).

What would you recommend for him to purchase together?

- List 1: three more tennis rackets? → Sorry, we are not looking for substitutes!
- List 2: three sets of tennis balls? → Hmm, not bad, but only need one is good enough. Can we do better?
- List 3: one tennis ball pack, one bag and one headband?
 → Sound good this time!





Given the input as catalog features (including item type) and customers behavior data, for a query item **i**, we recommend a set of items **S(i)**, aiming at optimizing their co-purchase probability and recommendation diversity.



Query item i

Related and diverse recommendation set S(i)





- C1: Complementary relationship between products is not symmetric and complementary recommendation is not simply based on similarity measurement.
- C2: Besides relatedness, complementary recommendation also needs to consider diversity.
 Diversified recommendations can better fulfill customer's need and significantly improve shopping experiences.
- C3: Complementary recommendation suffers in cold-start items. These items with lowresources on their features widely exist in e-commerce platform.
- o Some more challenges indeed...





Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)

Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)

- P-Companion Model
- Experiments & Case Study
- Summary & Future work

How are KGs structured or formatted?



- Triples (RDF)
 - Represented by: a node for the subject, a node for the object, and an arc/node for the predicate.
 - Example: Semantic Web, medical ontologies, etc.



- Label-property
 - Entity, labels, properties, qualifiers, etc.
 - Example: Wikidata







KG Embeddings From Triples

- Knowledge graph embeddings represent entities and relations as latent vectors or matrices and support effective relation learning and inference.
- Input: Relation facts (triples)
- **Output**: Embedding representations of objects and relations



Output



Learning KG Embeddings



• Key of existing KG embedding methods: Triple score function



• Previous research employ various arithmetic methods to capture observed relations of entities in a single KG (for example, translational distance or similarity)

Model	Score Function	Embeddings
TransE (Bordes et al., 2013)	$ $ $- \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{t} $	$\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
TransX	$ - g_{r,1}(\mathbf{h}) + \mathbf{r} - g_{r,2}(\mathbf{t}) $	$\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^{k}$
DistMult (Yang et al., 2014)	$(\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{t}) \cdot \mathbf{r}$	$\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^{k}$
HolE (Nickel et al., 2016)	$(\mathbf{h} \star \mathbf{t}) \cdot \mathbf{r}$	$\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^{k}$
ComplEx (Trouillon et al., 2016)	${ m Re}\langle {f r}, {f h}, {f ar t} angle$	$\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{C}^{k}$
ConvE (Dettmers et al., 2017)	$\langle \sigma(\operatorname{vec}(\sigma([\mathbf{r},\mathbf{h}]*\Omega))\mathbf{W}),\mathbf{t} \rangle$	$\mathbf{h},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^{k}$
RotatE (Sun et al., 2019)	$- \mathbf{h}\circ\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t} ^2$	$ \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{C}^k, r_i = 1$

Before We Jump Into Product Graph





Ontology-view Knowledge Graph

Instance-view Knowledge Graph

Terms

- **Nodes/entities**
- Relation, relation type
- Edges, triples
- Classes, types
- Ontology

Before We Jump Into Product Graph





Before We Jump Into Product Graph





Instance-view Knowledge Graph



Behavior based product graph → Attributed heterogeneous information networks (KGs)

- Node: Product items with attributes (title, description, category, keywords)
- Edges: Customer browsing and purchase behaviors (such as also-bought, also-view, bought-after-view, as important indicators of substitutes or complements)
- Note that there are many alternative ways to construct product graphs.



UCLA a







Two important observations:

Data Analysis on BPG

1. Product pairs from co-purchase and co-view records are not disjoint, and the amount of overlap heavily depends on categories.

2. Complementary relation in products is often observed across multiple categories.

Solution: Distant Supervision Collection for Complementary

Recommendation

1. We use a subset of co-purchase, i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{cp} - (\mathcal{B}_{pv} \cup \mathcal{B}_{cv})$ as labels for complementary products, which contains product pairs only in co-purchase records gives us the complement signals.

2. Removed the restriction of making recommendations within one category in and create a general dataset with multiple categories.











- Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)
- Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)

P-Companion Model

- Experiments & Case Study
- Summary & Future work

P-Companion: Overview



P-Companion: Workflow (Simplified Version) UCLA amazon

Module 1: Product2Vec

- GNN-based representation learning framework for millions of products.
- FNN transforms the original item catalog features to embeddings and later aggregates the information from similar products selectively by the attention layer.
- After training, FNN can be applied to obtain product embeddings for millions of products, including cold-start ones, which are used for subsequent modules.

FNN Model:

$$\theta_i = FFN(C_j) = \sigma \left(\sigma \left(C_i W^{(1)} + b^{(1)} \right) W^{(2)} + b^{(2)} \right) W^{(3)} + b^{(3)}$$

Attention Weight:

$$z_{i,j} = \operatorname{softmax}_{j} \left(\theta_{i}^{T} \theta_{j} \right) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{i}^{T} \theta_{j})}{\sum_{j' \in N_{i}} \exp(\theta_{i}^{T} \theta_{j'})}$$

Product2Vec training loss:

$$L = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \left\{ \max \left(\epsilon - y \cdot \left(\lambda - ||\theta_i - \theta_{N_i}||_2^2 \right) \right) \right\}$$

UCLA amazon

Goal: (1) Model the asymmetric relationship between query product type and complementary product types; (2) Generate diversified complementary product types for further item recommendation.

Auto-encoder based type transition model:

$$h = \text{Dropout} \left(\text{ReLU} \left(\phi_{w_i} W^{(4)} + b^{(4)} \right) \right)$$
$$\gamma_{w_i} = h W^{(5)} + b^{(5)}$$

Training loss:

$$\min \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}} \left(\max \left\{ 0, \epsilon_w - y_{i,j} \left(\lambda_w - \| \gamma_{w_i} - \phi_{w_j}^c \|_2^2 \right) \right\} \right)$$

Module 3: Complementary Item Prediction

Goal: Output item recommendations given the embeddings of query product and inferred multiple complementary types.

Item prediction neural model:

$$\theta_i^{w_c} = \theta_i \odot (\phi_{w_c}^c W^{(6)} + b^{(6)}),$$

s.t., $||\phi_{w_c}^c - \gamma_{w_i}||_2^2 \le \beta$

Training loss:

$$\min \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}} \max \left\{ 0, \epsilon_i - y_{i,j} \left(\lambda_i - \|\theta_i^{w_c} - \theta_j\|_2^2 \right) \right\}$$

Joint Training and Inference

Joint training on type transition and item prediction:

$$\min \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}} \alpha \left(\max\left\{ 0, \epsilon_i - y_{i,j} \left(\lambda_i - \|\theta_i^{w_j} - \theta_j\|_2^2 \right) \right) \right\} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\max\left\{ 0, \epsilon_w - y_{i,j} \left(\lambda_w - \|\gamma_{w_i} - \phi_{w_j}^c\|_2^2 \right) \right\} \right)$$

Item prediction loss

Type transition loss

Inference stage:

- Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)
- Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)
- P-Companion Model
- Experiments & Case Study
- Summary & Future work

Evaluation: Dataset

- We evaluate P-Companion a real-world dataset obtained from Amazon.com, which includes over 24M of products with catalog features and customer behavioral data across 10+ product categories.
- For comparison with baselines, we also select grocery and electronics category as two subsets from Amazon.

Datasets	Electronics	Grocery	All Groups	
# Items	97.6K	324.2K	24.54M	
# Product Types	5.6K	6.5K	34.8K	
# Co-purchase pairs	130.6K	804.1K	62.16M	
# Co-view pairs	3.15M	8.96M	1154M	
# purchase-after- view pairs	325.1K	1.10M	83.75M	

Evaluation: From history purchase data

- Given a pair (i, j), associated with type wi and wj, from co-purchase record as ground truth, we ask our model as well as all baselines to output recommendation list (with predicted complementary types), and consider the following:
 - whether item **j** is in the list. \rightarrow *Item level*
 - Whether type w_j is in the predicted types \rightarrow *Type level*
- Metric: Hit@K score (both item level and type level, if applicable)
- Baselines: Sceptre, PMSC, JOIE

Dataset		Electronics	Grocery
Model	Model & Setting		Hit@60
Sceptre		0.124	0.085
PMSC		0.179	0.139
JOIE		0.200	0.155
	1 type \times 60 items	0.138	0.088
P-Companion	3 types \times 20 items	0.198	0.153
	5 types $ imes$ 12 items	0.222	0.189
	6 types \times 10 items	0.227	0.187

Evaluation: Diversified Rec. Matters

- We can manually control the diversified configurations.
- Metric: Hit@K score (both item level and type level, if applicable)
- Baselines: Sceptre, PMSC, JOIE

Dataset		Electronics	Grocery
Model & Setting		Hit@60	Hit@60
Sceptre		0.124	0.085
PMSC		0.179	0.139
JOIE		0.200	0.155
P-Companion	1 type \times 60 items	0.138	0.088
	3 types \times 20 items	0.198	0.153
	5 types $ imes$ 12 items	0.222	0.189
	6 types \times 10 items	0.227	0.187

• Cold-start items: Items without (many) FBT (Note: It still has complete product catalog features and type information, or inferred type)

Table 8: Results on complementary recommendation on cold-start product items (H@k denotes Hit@k score).

Datasets	Electronics (only cold-start items in testing)				
Level	Item Hit score			Type Hit score	
Metrics	H@1 H@3 H@10			H@3	
Sceptre	0.049	0.065	0.081	n/a	
PMSC	0.073	0.093	0.111	n/a	
JOIE	0.107	0.136	0.157	0.138	
P-Companion	0.115	0.147	0.165	0.178	

Evaluation: From MTurk

- Historical co-purchase data is far from complete. It is possible that the products we recommend is reasonable but not observed in the past co-purchase data.
- MTurk: cross-source workers as human judgement by "questionnaire" on top-5 P-Companion generated recommendations.

Example of MTurk Questionnaire

Base Product Recommended Product Answer Yes, I am very likely to buy them together. The recommendation inspires me the potential needs to purchase, but not this right one. No, the recommendation MOSISO Laptop Sleeve Compatible 2018 MacBook Ai Apple 13 Inch MacBook Pro / MD101LL/A / 2.5GHz is relevant but I am less 13 A1932 Retina Display/MacBook Pro 13 A1989 Intel Core i5, 4GB RAM, 500GB HDD, Intel HD 4000 likely to buy them A1706 A1708 USB-C 2018 2017 2016/Surface Pro Graphics, DVDRW, WIFI Wireless, iSight Webcam together. 6/5/4/3, Polyester Bag with Vertical Pocket, Wine Red I do not think they are relevant.

Question 2: Given you decide to purchase the base product, would you be interested in purchasing the recommended product together with the base product?

Score Options:

Score-3: Perfect. \rightarrow Yes, I am very likely to purchase them together!

Score-2: Inspiring. \rightarrow The recommendation inspires the potential need, but just not the right one.

Score-1: Relevant. \rightarrow The recommendation is relevant but

I am not likely to buy them together.

Score-0: Failed. \rightarrow Totally not relevant.

MTurk: P-Companion vs Co-purchase

- P-Companion achieves comparable average scores with co-purchase data.
- P-Companion can provide much more diversified recommendations from multiple product types, compared to the approach that simply relies on co-purchase.

Model	CP	P-Companion					
	Cr	Pos-1	Pos-2	Pos-3	Pos-4	Pos-5	
% of Score 3	0.46	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.42	
% of Score 2	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.27	
% of Score 1	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.26	
% of Score 0	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	
Rel. Rate	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.97	0.96	
Avg. Score	2.15	2.12	2.09	2.07	2.13	2.08	

Examples of Predicted Top-3 Complementary Type Predictions

Query Type	Predicted Complementary Types
camera-power-adapter	(1) sec-digit-card (2) micro-sd-card (3) hdmi-cable
cell-phone-battery	(1) cell-phone-screen-protect (2) battery-charge-case (3) flip-cell-phone- carry-case
roast-coffee-bean	(1) fridge-coffee-cream (2) whole-bean (3) white-tea
fly-fish-line	(1) fluorocarbon-fish-line (2) surf-fish-rod (3) fly-fish-reel

Category	Query Item	Co-Purchase	Тор-	Top-5 Recommendations from P-Companion			
Electronics							
Grocery							Harrison Harrison
All-Group (Pet home)		None					
All-Group (Fishing tools)		None			- ALE CAREER	Ö	THREAD IN

- After deploying P-Companion for online serving, we conduct online A/B testing on Amazon by splitting customer sessions randomly.
- For the control group, we use co-purchase datasets for the recommendation, while for the treatment group, we show recommendations from P-Companion.
- We observe relative **+0.23%** improvement on product sales, **+0.18%** improvement on profit gain, by considering both diversity and relevance in P-Companion.

- Background: Complementary Product Recommendation (CPR)
- Behavior-based Product Graphs (BPG)
- P-Companion Model
- Experiments & Case Study

Extra Thoughts and Notes on ComRec

- Definition of *complementary product* for different product department (electronics, grocery, furniture, media/book, etc)
- Data sources and quality from noisy customer behavior
- Product type labeling scheme
- Adaptation and generalization for cold-start items
- Timestamp and separate orders in customer purchase \rightarrow List/Bundle recommendation

Summary & Future Directions

- We present P-Companion, an end-to-end neural-based recommendation solution for diversified complementary product recommendation.
- We propose a novel schema to obtain improved distant supervision labels for better complementary model learning.
- Experimental evaluation has shown the effectiveness in recommending relevant and diversified complementary items over alternative approaches and demonstrated strong business values on our online production systems.
- Some future directions of P-Companion: (1) adaptive diversified recommendation for different categories; (2) leveraging temporal customer purchase history information to generate personalized complementary recommendations.

Knowledge Graphs Are Important

- Foundational to knowledge-driven AI systems
- Enable many downstream applications (NLP tasks, QA systems, etc.)

Recommender Systems

Computational Biology

JOIE: Learning on Instance & Ontology View

SARS-CoV-2 Human host interactions (Left) and SARS-CoV-2 Gene Ontology (GO) annotations (Right)

Bio-JOIE: Extension from JOIE

Protein Interaction Domain

Collaborators

Tong Zhao Amazon

Jin Li Amazon

Luna Xin Dong Ex-Amazon Now Meta AR/VR

Christos Faloutsos Amazon/CMU

Yizhou Sun UCLA

Wei Wang UCLA

For more information, please check our paper and webpage! Paper: <u>https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3340531.3412732</u> (Video included) Amazon Blog: <u>https://www.amazon.science/blog/improving-complementary-product-recommendations</u>

Thank you!

Contact: jhao@cs.ucla.edu or haojh.ucla@gmail.com Website: http://www.haojunheng.com/